

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective June 17, 2001 and Commission Rule 133.305, titled Medical Dispute Resolution-General, and 133.307, titled Medical Dispute Resolution of a Medical Fee Dispute, a review was conducted by the Medical Review Division regarding a medical fee dispute between the requestor and the respondent named above.

### **I. DISPUTE**

1. a. Whether there should be additional reimbursement of \$5,552.62 for date of service, 5-18-01.
- b. The request was received on 4-1-02.

### **II. EXHIBITS**

1. Requestor, Exhibit I:
  - a. TWCC 60
  - b. UB-92
  - c. Based on Commission Rule 133.307 (g) (4), the Division notified the Requestor of the Requestor's requirement to submit two copies of additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute on 6-11-02. There is no response from the Requestor in the file. A "No Additional Information Received" from the Requestor is reflected in Exhibit I.
  - e. Any additional documentation submitted was considered, but has not been summarized because the documentation would not have affected the decision outcome.
2. Respondent, Exhibit II:

There was no Carrier sign sheet noted in the dispute packet. Based on Commission Rule 133.307 (g) (4), the Division notified the Requestor with a copy to Box 19 Austin Representative of the Requestor's requirement to submit two copies of additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute on 6-11-02. There is no Carrier initial or 14 day response to this medical fee dispute in the file.

### **III. PARTIES' POSITIONS**

1. Requestor: No position statement.
2. Respondent: No position statement.

### **IV. FINDINGS**

1. Based on Commission Rule 133.307(d) (1) (2), the only date of service eligible for review is 5-18-01.

2. This decision is being written based on the documentation that was in the file at the time it was assigned to this Medical Dispute Resolution Officer.
3. Per the Requestor's Table of Disputed Services, the Requestor billed the Carrier \$6,005.42.
4. Per the Requestor's Table of Disputed Services, the Carrier paid the Requestor \$397.80.
5. According to the Table of Disputed Services the amount in dispute is \$5,552.62

## **V. RATIONALE**

Medical Review Division's rationale:

The Requestor has submitted UB-92s for ambulatory surgical services for date of service 5-18-01. The bill in dispute is broken down into HCPCS Codes for surgical supplies and injectables. However, the total is considered the facility fees (what the facility charged for providing the facility, equipment and supplies in order for the surgical procedure to be done).

There are no EOBs/audit summaries noted in the file. Therefore, when determining whether or not additional reimbursement is warranted, the Medical Review Division must first determine that the services were rendered as billed. After review of the dispute file, no documentation was noted to support the services billed. **No** reimbursement is recommended.

The above Findings and Decision are hereby issued this 12th day of August 2002.

Lesia Lenart, RN  
Medical Dispute Resolution Officer  
Medical Review Division

LL/ll